

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE . | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/741,322 | 12/18/2003 | Robert Elmer Sundell | 132357 | 6921 |
| 6147 7590 01/12/2007 GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY | | | | INER |
| GLOBAL RESEARCH PATENT DOCKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A59 NISKAYUNA, NY 12309 | | | STINSON, FRANKIE L | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1746 | |
| | | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 3 MO | NTHS | 01/12/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/741,322 | SUNDELL ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | · | FRANKIE L. STINSON | 1746 | | | | |
| 5 | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | | | | |
| Period fo | • • | | • | | | | |
| - VVHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ARANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)[_] | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicat | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examine | <i>r</i> . | | | | | |
| 10) | 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the contisted copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | | |
| 3) 因 Infor |) 🔲 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/18/2003</u> . 6) Other: | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/741,322

Art Unit: 1746

Page 2

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18 19-21, 22, 23, 24-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) or 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Guinibert et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 6,968,632), Dunlap or (U. S. Pat. No. 2,771,766) or Hyman (U. S. Pat. No. 2,561,509).

Re claim 1, for example note that by Guinibert Hyman, and Dunlap each disclose the basket having a cylindrical, housing, and the plurality of geometric structures (ribs). Also note that Guinibert disclose the stainless drum and geometric structures being made of a synthetic material (col. 19, lines 7-29) and the same for use with washing machines (col. 1, lines 45-49). Also note the porous structures in Hyman and the drying circuit in Guinibert.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/741,322

Art Unit: 1746

Page 3

- 4. Claims 5, 6, 9, 17 and 30-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guinibert and Dunlap. Re claims 5 and 6, although not specifically discloses, the determining of the height and spacing of the structures is deemed to be inherent for proper tumbling of the drum. Re claim 9, to employ a specific shape is deemed to be a mere matter of design and of little patentable weight in view of the corresponding structure in the applied prior art. This is also applicable to the subject matter of claims 17 and 30-34.
- 5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applied prior art in view of Baird.

Claim 10 defines over the applied prior art only in the recitation in the varying height,.

Baird discloses the varying height as claimed. It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the arrangement if either Hyman, Dunlap or Guinibert, to have the structures of varying height as taught by Baird, for the purpose of intensifying the cleaning process as is old and well known in the art.

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In Stilwell, Jr., Douglas, Kauffman, Richardson, Fisher, Brown, and Binder note the structures..
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (571) 272-1308. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm and some Saturdays from approximately 5:30 am to 11:30 am.

Application/Control Number: 10/741,322

Art Unit: 1746

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached on (571) 272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

fls

FRANKIE L. STINSON
Primary Examiner
GROUP ART UNIT 1746